

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,585	10/10/2003	Masaki Takaoka	RHM-US020052	2584
	10/605,585 10/10/2003 Masaki Takaoka	EXAMINER		
1233 20TH ST	REET, NW, SUITE 700		NADA	v, ori
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-2680		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2811	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/605,585	TAKAOKA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
	<u> </u>	Ori Nadav	2811		
The MAIL Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address		
A SHORTENED WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time mafter SIX (6) MONTH - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE and be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. is specified above, the maximum statutory period we the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to come the application to be application.	ON. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.		
Status	,				
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ne 2007			
	a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this a					
closed in a	ccordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Clain					
	4 and 13 is/are pending in the applic	ation			
	bove claim(s) is/are withdraw				
	is/are allowed.	n nom consideration.			
	4 and 13 is/are rejected.				
	is/are objected to.				
	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement			
Application Papers		· ·			
•	otion is objected to but to Fire of				
	ation is objected to by the Examiner.				
Annlicant ma	(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	pted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Renlacement	y not request that any objection to the di	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The oath or	drawing sheet(s) including the correction declaration is objected to by the Exa	in is required if the drawing(s) is of the common of the c	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S		minor. Note the attached Offic	Se Action of form P1O-152.		
	•		·		
a) ☐ All b) ☐	ment is made of a claim for foreign p Some * c)⊡ None of:	nonty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).		
	ed copies of the priority documents	have been received			
	ed copies of the priority documents		ation No		
3.☐ Copie	s of the certified copies of the priority	v documents have been received	yod in this Notional Stans		
applic	ation from the International Bureau (	PCT Rule 17 2(a))	ved in tijis ivational Stage		
	ned detailed Office action for a list of		ved		
		=	· <del>· · ·</del>		
.ttachment(s)					
) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview 0	(DTO 442)		
) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperso	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [	y (P10-413) Date		
) M Information Disclosur Paper No(s)/Mail Date	e Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) U Notice of Informal			
. Patent and Trademark Office	= <u>J/30/U/</u> .	6)			
OL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	Office Actio	on Summary P	art of Paper No /Mail Date 20070800		

Application/Control Number: 10/605,585

Art Unit: 2811

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zapka et al. (4,855,197).

Zapka et al. teach in figure 1 and related text a semiconductor device, comprising:

a unitary and non-layered semiconductor substrate 1 comprising a thin portion 3 that is thinner than adjacent portions of the semiconductor substrate, and a recessed portion 2 formed below the thin portion, the thin portion being configured and arranged to bridge both sides of the recessed portion and strengthen the semiconductor substrate with respect to forces applied from both sides of the semiconductor substrate (inherent);

wherein the etching rate of the thin portion is slower than that of the surrounding portions of the semiconductor substrate (since a dopant 3 is infused in the thin portion),

at least one through hole 4 is formed in the thin portion that extends from the recessed portion, and entirely through the thin portion to the upper surface of the semiconductor substrate, and

a dopant 3 is infused in the entire thin portion.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,585

Art Unit: 2811

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/3

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Zapka et al.

Zapka et al. teach in figure 1 and related text substantially the entire claimed structure, as applied to claim 1 above, except a thin portion is formed by means of a selective oxide film.

The claimed limitations of a thin portion being formed by means of a selective oxide film are process limitations which would not carry patentable weight in this claim drawn to a structure, because distinct structure is not necessarily produced.

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, In re Hirao, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); and In re Marosi et al., 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in

Art Unit: 2811

"product by process" claims or not. Note that the applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References B-K are cited as being related to the claimed structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ori Nadav whose telephone number is 571-272-1660. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 7 AM to 4 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Gurley can be reached on 571-272-1670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O.N. 8/9/07

ORI NADAV PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800